

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_97411
Title of the Manuscript:	Drying Effect of Energy Storage Enhanced Multilayer Solar Dryer (EEMSD) Parameters on the Physicochemical Properties of Dried OGI
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Should be actualized</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Dear Sir</p> <p>This section overall is well written. The language is moderately clear. The author should clarify the originality</p> <p>-The conclusion in the abstract should be ameliorated</p> <p>"It was observed in this research that the Enhanced Multilayer Solar Dryer had less or no impact on the Physicochemical Properties of dried <i>ogi</i> and <i>can</i> be an alternative use, especially in locations with limited access to electricity"</p> <p>-References were not actualized</p> <p>-(28±2 °C) should be corrected -all formulas should be professionally written -Error bars should be added</p> <p>-Ogi was written some time italic other time no?? , same thing for figure and fig</p> <p>-figure 3 should be put after table 1 figure 4 then figure 5</p> <p>-Physical characteristics should be replaced with physico-chemical analyses</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jebahi Samira
Department, University & Country	Cnstn, Tunisia