

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_97725
Title of the Manuscript:	Spatial Variability in Soil properties, Delineation Site-Specific Management division based on soil fertility Using Fuzzy clustering in Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The manuscript is relevant to the scientific community and to the commercial farmers in India. To the scientific community understanding of how soil physio-chemical properties are affected by topography provides insight to what to expect in other areas. Also, it reveals how changing environmental condition also affects the relationship between physio-chemical parameters and soil nutrient levels.</p> <p>The title os the article is suitable for those who are well knowledgeable in the field of Soil science and GIS. However, it will come to vague to those who are not knowledgeable.</p> <p>The sections are correct and the manuscript in my opinion is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are sufficient; however I see some inconsistencies in the references. In some the year is in parenthesis while some are not. So the author should endeavour to make the references consistent although.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is is suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	The methodology has sufficient details to guide one on what was done and for repeatability purpose the author should state what device or tools and the models that was used to measure pH and EC.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tamunobelega B. Solomon
Department, University & Country	Morgan State University, USA