

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_97444
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Organic Manure and Iron on Growth and Fodder Yield of Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The topic is important. My suggest goes to revise the manuscript to improve/enhance their quality/readability. 2. Yes for me 3. The abstract expected to provide compiled information. However, your manuscript needs to include introductory and concluding remark. 4. I have no dought about the way you construct sub section, but the overall content of the manuscript modified since a lot of redundancy have been there, lack of idea coherence and consistency in referencing. 5. In my opinion the manuscript requires modification. 6. Sufficient, still require revision specially consistency, and cross cheek all reference in the text must be present in the bibliography and also vice versa.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some incomplete sentence is there, redundancy and punctuation are the most common problem identified in the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	Your summery is not sufficient. I suggest including your concluding remark (home take message) for farmers, researcher and also policy maker.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Woldu Mogesse Eshete
Department, University & Country	Haramaya University, Ethiopia