

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_97810
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of chilli varieties for growth, yield and thrips incidence in Central Telangana Zone
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	I have some suggestions to improve the manuscript. 1. This manuscript is highly useful to the scientific community. 2. The title of the article are very appropriate. 3. The abstract of the article comprehensive appropriate. 4. The subsections and structure of the original are appropriate. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. References are sufficient. If it possible should be contains at least ten recent references related to your research.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Please check the grammatical errors.	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript is interesting.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ruchuon Wanna
Department, University & Country	Maharakham University, Thailand