

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_97733
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of climate smart nitrogen and water management approaches on growth and yield of sweet corn
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Definitely, this work has its own significance. Integrated use of nutrients influenced the soil physico-chemical and biological properties there by accomplished the better availability, absorption and utilization of nutrients thus, increased yield. Yes, title is GOOD. Abstract is brief and sounds GOOD. All VERY well cited. All fine as per the journal and consistent. Referencing is ok and recent. This is an interesting study and the authors have collected a unique dataset. The paper is generally well written and structured. This paper needs proper formatting as the font size and type are not consistent.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Well used language.	
Optional/General comments	This paper must be accepted after minor formatting corrections and revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neelam Hazoor Zaidi
Department, University & Country	University of Fiji, Fiji