

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_97405
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ISOLATION AND IDENTIFICATION OF ROOT NEMATODES AFFECTING TOMATOES GROWN IN SOME SELECTED AREAS OF IN AND AROUND THE KALABURAGI, KARNATAKA, INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>A routine type of work may be new to the area of the study.</p> <p>Diversity and Abundance of phytoparasitic nematodes associated with tomato (<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> Mill.) in Kalaburagi, Karnataka, India</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language needs to be improved</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The introduction is too long and could be reduced by keeping only essential information and references.</p> <p>The three maps given in the material and methods should be combined.</p> <p>The material and methods should be uniformly arranged. The isolation of nematodes should be rewritten in passive voice.</p> <p>Appropriate references should be given for identification.</p> <p>The relevance of environmental factors (weather) could not be correlating with the study.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M M V Baig
Department, University & Country	Yeshwant Mahavidyalaya, India