

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_97386
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of basal application of sulphur and foliar application of micro-nutrients on growth and yield of summer Sesame (<i>Sesamum indicum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The manuscript is important for scientific community, but it should be presented in a good English. Table should be indicated in the result and discussion sections. 2. The title is suitable. 3. The abstract is comprehensive, but it should also contain conclusion. 4. The structure of the manuscript is appropriate. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct but it still can be improve by discussing why addition of sulphur affect better growth than addition of iron. 6. The reference cited in the text is not numbered so the number in the reference list is not in the order it appear in the text.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Typographical errors and spelling should be corrected.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	I Gede Ketut Adiputra
Department, University & Country	Universitas Hindu Indonesia, Indonesia