

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_97297
Title of the Manuscript:	CONVALESCENT PLASMA INFUSION IN ITALIAN HOSPITALIZED PATIENTS WITH SEVERE COVID 19 PNEUMONIA: EVALUATION OF LATE MORTALITY ASSOCIATED FACTORS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalibrr.com/index.php/IBRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</li> </ol>	<p>This manuscript is really important for the scientific community as it was a prospective study in nature, and was conducted in a single center in Italy for the period of one and a half year during COVID-19 epidemic. However, it lacks randomization and the treatment information prior to the hospitalization was unavailable.</p> <p>The title is suitable.</p> <p>Acceptable, however, the start date of the study should be confirmed as there was a discrepancy between the date mentioned in the abstract and in the Study design. Moreover, the study was ethically approved by the Ethics Committee on May 15, 2020. Subsections and the structure of the manuscript are OK.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct and robust.</p> <p>The references are recent and quite sufficient</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	Generally acceptable. Typo errors should be corrected as indicated in the checked manuscript. (Attached)	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kyaw Zin Thant
Department, University & Country	Myanmar Academy of Medical Science, Myanmar