

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_97297
Title of the Manuscript:	CONVALESCENT PLASMA INFUSION IN ITALIAN HOSPITALIZED PATIENTS WITH SEVERE COVID 19 PNEUMONIA: EVALUATION OF LATE MORTALITY ASSOCIATED FACTORS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalibrr.com/index.php/IBRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>This manuscript is important for scientific community. This paper is describes an interesting study done in one Italian center on hospitalized patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia treated with convalescent plasma (CCP) infusion to analyse the clinical characteristics and the risk factors, which influenced 30-day mortality post hospital admission.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. The structure of the manuscript is appropriate. The manuscript is scientifically correct. The references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	1- Generally, the manuscript is properly structured and understood. 2- There are some corrections which I did (yellow color) and deletions (red color) in the attached file.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ayman A Allam
Department, University & Country	Zagazig University, Egypt