

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_97193
Title of the Manuscript:	Bayesian Estimation of Entropy for Kumaraswamy Distribution and Its Application to Progressively First-Failure Censored Data
Type of the Article	Empirical

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The manuscript topic is relevant keeping in mind the current industry practices and very well defined with its purpose.</p> <p>The title is suitable for the study purpose defined by the author/s.</p> <p>Abstract clearly outlines the aim of the research and how research has been carried out to address the gap.</p> <p>Sub sections and structure is well defined and addresses the theories systematically.</p> <p>Perhaps, the manuscript states the know how's scientifically and well aligned with the purpose.</p> <p>References are sufficient but recent references are minimal. Researchers is advised to add more relevant and current reference work to further strengthen the manuscript.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Leena N. Fukey
Department, University & Country	School of Business and Management, Christ University, India