

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_97193
Title of the Manuscript:	Bayesian Estimation of Entropy for Kumaraswamy Distribution and Its Application to Progressively First-Failure Censored Data
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Censoring is very popular in many areas, including engineering, social economy and pharmacology sciences. This paper develops an estimation process for entropy of Kumaraswamy distribution through a general censoring scheme (i.e. PFFC data). 2. The title of the paper is acceptable. 3. For my opinion, its abstract is complete. 4. They are relevant, too. 5. Interesting and correct scientific results are obtained from the simulation study. 6. I think that more references are needed. The reference number [26] is cited but it is not included in the list of references.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. Meaningless or unfinished phrases. Misspellings, as well.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jollanda Shara
Department, University & Country	University "Eqrem Cabej", Albania