

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_97779
Title of the Manuscript:	CONTRIBUTION TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN IMPLEMENT CAPABLE TO EASE THE PRACTISING OF CULINARY ACTIVITIES IN GENERAL SECONDARY HIGH SCHOOL IN CAMEROON: CASE STUDY OF THE FRANCOPHONE SUBSYSTEM OF EDUCATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	This article needs corrections before it can be printed yes yes yes After corrections yes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is better to mention the time domain of the research and research methodology in the abstract section. contribution has been made to help the existing literature in the last section of introduction part. interpretation should be made in the conclusion section and also comparison has been made with previous researches in this section. It is better to provide a brief explanation about that table after each table. After the introduction section, it is better to mention the theoretical foundations of the previous research 	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahdi Filsaraei
Department, University & Country	Hakim Toos Higher Education institute, Iran