

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_97779
Title of the Manuscript:	CONTRIBUTION TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN IMPLEMENT CAPABLE TO EASE THE PRACTISING OF CULINARY ACTIVITIES IN GENERAL SECONDARY HIGH SCHOOL IN CAMEROON: CASE STUDY OF THE FRANCOPHONE SUBSYSTEM OF EDUCATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory REVISION</u> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> In the field of education, in the context of the specific country of Cameroon, an important approach to the problem, in order to reduce concerns when introducing cross-subject integration into the curriculum. The topic could be reworded a bit, although the existing content also corresponds to the title at the moment (remove contribution..) Reword the first sentence of the abstract: This work aims.. Key words could include: Cameroon, interdisciplinary approach Regarding the structure of the article, chapter 3 could be adjusted, as there are a lot of short sections. Figures 1, 2 are not necessary as they are drawn for 2 indicators. The other figures could be better set up visually. The size of the tables should be harmonized. Articles introducing interdisciplinary approach are important, they share experiences and results, possible solutions. The summary of this article could have more suggestions than 1 day's activities. 44 sources have been used, the research is extensive, but only 10 referenced sources have been compiled in the last 5 years 19 of the 44 referenced sources have been compiled only in the last 10 years, so quite a lot of old sources are available, but they are referred to in the article. Citing annual numbers must be in accordance with the journal's requirements, see annual numbers! Change the style of the sentence by adding, for example, the author's name: ..As shown by [6], as pointed out by [7], this is "learning by doing" as noted by [8]. It appears that the practical aspects in teaching deserve to be initiated and valued as indicated by [9]. according to [19],As shown by [6],as pointed out by [7],this is "learning by doing" as noted by [8]. It appears that the practical aspects in teaching deserve to be initiated and valued as indicated by [9]. according to [19]et al. 	
<p><u>Minor REVISION</u> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	A current topic in the field of education and the preparation of curricula, taking into account various influencing factors for the importance of integration between subjects.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maarika Veigel
Department, University & Country	Tallinn University, Estonia