

Editor's Comment:

1. When I read the abstract, the content of the specific manuscript was clear and understandable for me.
2. The aim of the study is clear. Relevant references are used. I believe that authors have to highlight further the rationality of their study. It is clear the significance of the study, but the arguments about the rationality could be enriched.
3. I believe that the Figure 1 does not add anything to the theoretical framework.
4. Although I believe that the use of research questions has advantages in comparison to the use of hypotheses, I can accept how they are used at the present study. However authors need to present the different statistical methods they use in relation to the hypotheses. We need to understand further how each hypothesis is examined.
5. It is clear for me how the reliability is examined. However it is not clear how the validity is examined (page 6).
6. The presentation of the results is improved at the revised manuscript after the reviewers' valuable comments and suggestions.
7. The discussion has to be related further with the theoretical framework.

In general, the specific manuscript can be accepted for publication after the above mentioned changes.

Editor's Details:

Prof. Areti Panaoura
Frederick Univeristy, Cyprus.