

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_97635
Title of the Manuscript:	Anterior chest wall reconstruction after voluminous tumor resection with 3D printed, anatomically designed, titanium alloy implant and TRAM flap: A case report
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes The title can be shortened to convey the meaning "Surgical reconstruction with implant and TRAM flap of extensive thoracic wall defects following resection of a massive tumor using 3D printing. A case report. Yes, but needs to be tailored to the case report. The manuscript is well structured and well described. Yes the scientific content of the manuscript is correct and well depicted photographs are there. The references are recent and relevant to the text. There are a few grammatical mistakes which need attention and some sentences need to be reformed.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are a few grammatical mistakes which need attention and some sentences need to be reformed. This is a scholarly article.	
Optional/General comments	Follow journal guidelines	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abha Chandra
Department, University & Country	Integral Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, India