

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_97351
Title of the Manuscript:	The Socio Economic Profile of covid-19 lockdown effected farmer in surguja district of chhattisgarh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is important for scientific community. No, it has to be modified as <b>"The socioeconomic profile of farmers affected by the Covid-19 lockdown in Chhattisgarh's Surguja district.</b> No, the abstract has to be modified. I suggest that the following can be maintained as an abstract of this manuscript. <b>The present study was conducted in the villages of Sakalo, Sargwan, Ara, and Amatoli in the blocks of Ambikapur and Sitapur, which are both located in the Surguja district, in the state of Chhattisgarh. A total of 80 farmers were chosen on purpose from each village—20 from each village. The research project "the Socio Economic Profile of Covid-19 Lockdown Affected Farmer in Surguja District of Chhattisgarh" With the use of a personal interview method and scheduled interview schedule. They tabulated, examined, and analysed the data. The profile characteristics of all farmers affected by the lockdown. Growers reported that a majority of (61.25%) young age category, (48.75%) farmers ST category, (45%) illiterate, (40%) famers small land holding, (63%) famers' occupation is agriculture, (68.75%) irrigated type land, (50%) farmers is medium level income, majority of farmers family joint, (50%) farmers house is kaccha type, (55%) sources of drinking water is publicly, and (60%) farmers is a farmer. Low farm equipment levels, with (45%) farmers information sources are few, Understanding the socioeconomic status of farmers would be aided by the outcomes of the current study. The current study will offer helpful direction for comprehending the recommended set of farming methods.</b> The subsections are not sufficient. In an academic sense, this manuscript is not that much appropriate. It needs to be totally rewritten by adding more relevant points in an academic way. In a way, it has followed the academic style but manuscript has to be scientifically correct by adding more of relevant points, literature review, hypothesis and statistical applications. References are not sufficient to support the manuscript sufficiently. Therefore, it is better to provide more references to support and also justify the manuscript results. Though the title mentions about Findings and Discussion, there is no discussion done anywhere in the article. The author is requested to discuss the results with other studies of a similar nature. There is no review literature is done. It is a must to bring in review of literature. After the findings, the manuscript ends with conclusion. The author has to add Policy implication and recommendations. References are not mentioned as per the 7<sup>th</sup> APA edition. Kindly change them.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	No, It lacks novelty and scholarly writing and also the language has to be fine-tuned.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>S. John Kaviarasu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Human Excellence, Loyola College, India</b>