

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_97351
Title of the Manuscript:	The Socio Economic Profile of covid-19 lockdown effected farmer in surguja district of chhattisgarh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article is interesting. However, there are some serious weaknesses that need to be corrected before it can be considered suitable for publication.</p> <p>The introduction does not adequately discuss how and why you planned to conduct this research, what the future benefits of this research will be to upcoming scholars, and what the findings of this study are. Make sure to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Establish an area to research by highlighting the importance of the topic, and/or making general statements about the topic, and/or presenting an overview of current research on the subject. 2. Place your research within the research niche by stating the intent of your study, outlining the key characteristics of your research, describing important results, and giving a brief overview of the structure of the paper. <p>The literature review is well-developed, mentioning exceptional content related to the topic. However, it is suggested to take into consideration the following references in order to increase the scientific impact of their paper and support their evidences in regarding to covid-19 lockdown affected farmer:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ghadermarzi, H., Ataei, P., Mottaghi Dastenaiei, A., & Bassullu, C. (2023). In-service training policy during the COVID-19 pandemic: The case of the agents of the farmers, rural people, and nomads social insurance fund. <i>Frontiers in Public Health</i>, 11:1098646. - Moradhaseli, S., Ataei, P., Karimi, H., & Hajialiany, S. (2022). Typology of Iranian farmers' vulnerability to the COVID-19 outbreak. <i>Frontiers in Public Health</i>, 10:1018406 - Moradhaseli, S., Ataei, P., Van den Broucke, S., & Karimi, H. (2021). The process of farmers' occupational health behavior by health belief model: Evidence from Iran. <i>Journal of Agromedicine</i>, 26(2), 231-244. <p>The methodology section is well-underdeveloped. The descriptions of the research philosophy and design need to be sufficiently developed.</p> <p>In the conclusion, summarize your thoughts and convey the larger significance of your research. Identify and discuss how a gap in the literature has been addressed and demonstrate the importance of your ideas. Introduce possible new or expanded ways of thinking about the research problem.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pouria Ataei
Department, University & Country	Tarbiat Modares University, Iran