

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_97143
Title of the Manuscript:	ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF CUCUMBER MARKET PERFORMANCE AND THEIR CONSTRAINTS IN SULTANPUR DISTRICT OF UTTAR PRADESH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Yes. In this paper authors study</b> find out the marketing cost, market margins, price spread and marketing efficiency of cucumber produced. Author discuss various GDP. <b>Yes</b> <b>Possible to reduce the abstract. Include the paragraph start with "in this paper.."</b> <b>Yes</b> <b>Correct. Possible to include two to three graphs which is used to explain your novelty.</b> <b>Yes.</b>  1. <b>Simply explain your work using methodology in abstract sections. Mentioned "in this paper..."</b> 2. <b>Keyword also increase minimum 5.</b> 3. <b>In material and methods sections, include the mention the equation number.</b> 4. <b>Include the data collection work and data pre-processing.</b> 5. <b>Include main heading and sub heading number.</b> 6. <b>Include graphs relating to your proposed work and the same graph and table number mentioned in results and discussions sections.</b> 7. <b>Include further research section.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Simple	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Rajesh
Department, University & Country	Government Arts College, Annamalai University, India