

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_92177
Title of the Manuscript:	Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors of Neurofibromatosis Type I : a case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors have written a manuscript titled "Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors of Neurofibromatosis Type I : a case report". No doubt, the topic is interesting still I feel incorporation of suggested comments will help in improving its quality. <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Introduction can be rewritten focussing more on background of work, need of work, significance of work and outline of the work.2. Please avoid multiple references like (5,10). It is not necessary that all references will provide same statement.3. Lot of grammatical errors like wich. Please avoid.4. Conclusion can be rewritten focussing more on work done, results obtained and future scope of the work.5. Results can be discussed in details.6. More references can be added.7. Please add references using mendeley. Instead of .(8) you should write [8]. Similarly it can be done for other references too.8. Please discuss the case study in details	
Minor REVISION comments	Please incorporate the suggested comments to improve the quality of the paper.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jyoti Dabass
Department, University & Country	Indian Institute of Technology, India