

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_96992
Title of the Manuscript:	Insilico Study of Anticolorectal cancer properties of some flavonoids and Terpenoids from African Propolis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalsarjnp.com/index.php/SARJNP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, the manuscript is found to be significantly relevant. Yes, the title is appropriate. Yes but some sentence corrections are there as highlighted in manuscript Yes Yes, there are some important outcomes. Some latest references from the year 2021 or 2022 for prevalence can be given.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but please go for grammatical errors as mentioned in manuscript	
Optional/General comments	The evidence from previous references for the results obtained by the author are not mentioned in the manuscript in results and discussions section. Please kindly provide that as an important aspect in the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Parul Thapar
Department, University & Country	GITAM Deemed University, India