

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_SARJNP_95844</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING, ANTI-MICROBIAL ACTIVITY AND CHROMATOGRAPHIC STUDIES OF PARTS OF HARUNGANA MADAGASCARIENSIS</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalsarjnp.com/index.php/SARJNP/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)**</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>SUGGESTIONS:</b></p> <p>Yes!</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Nevertheless, observe that the Subsection "MATERIALS AND METHODS" shows a distinct Format!</li> </ul> </p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>The References are sufficient, but this specific item deserves a general review as far as the style and 'Format' is concerned, in accordance with the Editor's Norms!</p> <p>Please, review the compatibility and dimensions of all Tables with the corresponding text. Also, centralize all Tables and avoid partitioning them. {Ex. Tables 2 and 6}!</p> <p><i>Observe the same suggestion as far as all Figures!</i></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments (**). .....</p>	<p><i>I would like to suggest a general review of this important Article but in plain observance of all Editor's Norms!</i></p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jurandyr Santos Nogueira</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University of Bahia, Brazil</b>