

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_95767
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF AQUEOUS EXTRACTS OF FLOWER, LEAVES, STEM AND ROOT OF AGERATUM CONYZOIDES L ON GLUCOSE, LIPID PROFILE AND LIVER MARKERS ON STREPTOZOCIN INDUCE DIABETIC RATS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalsarjnp.com/index.php/SARJNP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The manuscript is scientifically important, original and innovative, with the intention of showing a new natural product to treat diabetes. There are still some questions to be resolved, such as why Ageratum conyzoides was chosen among all the plants, to corroborate its effectiveness? What part of Is the plant the most effective? Because there is no comparison between the parts and in the preparation the stem and leaves are mentioned but not the other parts, from what day does its hypoglycaemic effect start? How long does it last? What is the minimum hypoglycaemic dose? 2. Yes 3. Yes, although there are a couple of grammatical errors 4. Yes, but perhaps the part of material and methods should be expanded a little, in the preparation of the plants. 5. yes 6. Yes, it has enough references, although some do not have the correct or complete format for the publication and are moderately recent (from 2002 to 2016).	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	if the quality of the written language is good and understandable	
Optional/General comments	It is a manuscript that is innovative and that could be expanded with another type of animal or evaluated to study in humans, following the stages for this.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ricardo Leon Sanchez Consuegra
Department, University & Country	Universidad Libre Seccional Barranquilla, Colombia