

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_97060
Title of the Manuscript:	"CHANGE" AND "CONSTANT" IN INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS: A CASE STUDY BASED ON SINO-BANGLADESH PERSPECTIVE
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Congratulations to the author(s) for their paper. The paper explores that the thousand years old friendship between the two countries (China-Bangladesh) and also tried to find out the "Change" and the "Constant" in international relations based on the Sino-Bangladesh perspective.</p> <p>The topic of the manuscript is very relevant and in line with the aims and scope of the journal which makes this manuscript interesting. However, I have some minor concerns that need to be addressed. I'll explain them in the order of importance.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The contribution of the manuscript shall be made clear in the introduction. What does the paper adds to international literature? 2) General statements shall be removed. 3) Conclusions shall be specific. 4) The English are very weak. There is a need for English Editing. 5) In my opinion, the policy recommendations do not have justification in the empirical results. 6) Please clearly highlight the literature gap this study tries to fill in. Let's write a selection from the current literature after 2020. It would also be helpful to write a literature section. It is very important to include this section. 7) Originality Report: 10 % Similarity Index. And also I am sending the plagiarism report as an e-mail attachment. 8) Let's revise the way of giving references according to the spelling rules of the journal. 9) And lastly, the writing needs to be better. There are a plethora of run-on sentences and grammatical errors throughout. The writing issues sometimes make the paper difficult to read. Although there are not a lot of obvious mistakes, the style is clunky and I advise that the manuscript is proffered by a native English speaker. In general, the paper needs to be proofread and copy edited. <p>Disadvantage:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Having not enough images (for example table, figure, diagram, chart, graphic etc.) is a disadvantage for the paper. <p>How to improve? :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Those mentioned in the literature review can be tabulated. This makes it easier for the reader to understand. 2- Overall, the abbreviations seem to be inconvenient since their notations were not explained when they first appear. Author(s) are suggested to revise the paper throughout. 3- Overall, Author(s) are suggested to use more figure and table presentations which will help in 	

Review Form 1.7

	understanding the whole picture of the study. 4- Overall, more studies in the existing literature on the topic should have been cited/presented to make clear the contribution of the study. This will also help in showing how the study is going to fill the gap in the extant literature. 5- Finally, the concluding in conjunction with the recommendations should address more specific outcomes of the contribution of commerce and investment in the midst of the two countries (China-Bangladesh).	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mustafa Göktuğ Kaya
Department, University & Country	KTO Karatay University, Turkey