

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_96595
Title of the Manuscript:	Status of Social Accountability at Rural Local Governance in Bangladesh and the Way Forward: In the Case of Union Parishad
Type of the Article	Qualitative Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. <b>Yes the manuscript is important as it captured the important contributory part of whole population.</b> 2. <b>Article title is suitable. But there is generalized discussion (with reference) in whole document. There is dire need to add literature and few references specific to Bangladesh to justify the title.</b> 3. <b>In abstract there is need to add two to three lines describing results and conclusion.</b> 4. <b>Yes subsections and structure is appropriate. But it is suggested to add at-least a page specifically focused on social accountability in rural area of Bangladesh (With references).</b> 5. <b>Yes manuscript is scientifically correct.</b> 6. <b>No references are not sufficient. One reference per page is not sufficient. There is dire need to add few more references especially on page 3 to page 12.</b> 7. <b>Formatting may be rechecked. Especially end references are not formatted.</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes language of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tanvir Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Foundation University, Pakistan