

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_97382
Title of the Manuscript:	BACTERIAL POLLUTION OF URBAN WASTEWATER IN DRAINAGE CANALS IN THE CITIES OF ABIDJAN, BOUAKE AND YAMOOUSSOUKRO, CÔTE D'IVOIRE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalsajrm.com/index.php/SAJRM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes. The manuscript is important to plan control strategies for wastewater treatment plants. 2. Yes 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Sufficient Section 3, Statistical formulas employed should be included Section 3.1.1, second paragraph, seems not checked by author?? Discussion part should be divided into paragraphs and must be thoroughly checked for punctuations and grammar.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but needs revision	
Optional/General comments	In abstract: conclusion , first sentence "It then appears.." should be reframed Introduction First line, Full stop before with The use of tenses should be cheked.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arti Malviya
Department, University & Country	LNCT, India