

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_97095
Title of the Manuscript:	ESTIMATION OF RADON CONCENTRATION AROUND PUBLIC SPACES AND RESIDENTIAL HOMES WITH ALTITUDE WITHIN CITIES OF DELTA STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpsij.com/index.php/PSIJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. As it is a scientific and standard study on radon pollution, I think it is valuable. . 2. It's suitable but the full stop (.) is unnecessary. 3. It is comprehensive. 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Yes, most of them are from 2015-2021 and all of them are relevant.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. I have checked it by Grammarly. It has several small problems. They should check it themselves by https://app.grammarly.com/ . It is free of charge.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahdieh Mehrab Mohseni
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran