

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_97281
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Seasonal variation on mycorrhizal association in selected sites in Kota, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalmri.com/index.php/MRJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. This article helps to understand the season variation in mycorrhizal activity 2. Revised see the attached file 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Correct 6. Yes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors need to add clear details about sampling sites • Authors need to add clear details about how the replication samples were taken and made composite sample • Authors may add few photos of microscopic image of AMF root colonization and Spores • Authors must give the plant species present in the sampling location • Discussion section may be improved • In methodology Authors mentioned that they studied spore morphology and identified the name. But this part is missing in the results and discussion part. Which AMF species/ Genus observed? 	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Figure 2 is improved, see the attached file	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Krishnamoorthy
Department, University & Country	Vanavarayar Institute of Agriculture, India