

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_97207
Title of the Manuscript:	Antifungal and Antibacterial activity of Actinomycetes isolated from various soil samples of Arachis hypogea L. and Gossypium herbaceum L.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalmrji.com/index.php/MRJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Work attempt is good but less zone of inhibition is obtained for isolates.How it is effective against resistant bacteria didn't understood. ISOLATION OF ACTINOMYCETES FROM ARACHIS HYPOGAEA AND GOSSYPIUM HERBACEUM FOR SCREENING ANTIBACTERIAL AND ANTIFUNGAL ACTIVITIES. YES FIG 1-It is correct or its the growth of fungi Fig2-Name of organism is not mentioned YES YES Still need to do detailed work	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Ok good ITS OK	
Optional/General comments	Work attempt is good. Still need to do more work. If less zone of inhibition is obtained.how it is useful in against bacteria or fungal oraganisms. I have highlighted in red. Where ever corrections are essential.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Meetakoti Pavani
Department, University & Country	Avanthi Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, India