

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_97067
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbial quality and public health risk of selected herbal remedies sold in open markets in Owerri metropolis, South Eastern, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalmri.com/index.php/MRJI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. This manuscript is important for scientific community. The study is original and aware the scientific community about the importance to know the microbiological quality of herbal remedies. 2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable. 3. The abstract is comprehensive and clear. 4. Yes. 5. Yes, I think. The objective of the study is well defined. Material and methods used were clearly explained. Results were well discussed. 6. The references are recent but not recent.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. Yes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yao Epse Toto Blah Vanessa Gael
Department, University & Country	University Felix Houphouet Boigny of Cocody, Côte d'Ivoire