

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Microbiology Research Journal International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_MRJI_97067</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Microbial quality and public health risk of selected herbal remedies sold in open markets in Owerri metropolis, South Eastern, Nigeria.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalmrji.com/index.php/MRJI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	The authors provided very useful information regarding microbial contamination of herbal remedies sold in open market. The current version of the manuscript is very interesting and informative. Overall, the manuscript is written clearly, and the data are presented appropriately and can support the conclusions made. I recommend the current version of manuscript for acceptance after considering the minor changes as mention below. 1. Even though the authors identified diverse microbes from herbal remedies but data in the manuscript is not sufficient for publication in this prestigious journal. 2. Title of the article is suitable. 3. The abstract of the article is not comprehensive. Need to provide some additional useful information especially in the methods and results. 4. The subsections of the manuscript structure are not appropriate. Very least information in the introduction and results sections. 5. Manuscript is scientifically correct but need to further flourish the presentation style. Even font style and size is not consistent throughout the manuscript. 6. References are sufficient but not updated. Most of the references are before 2010 and no updated reference 2020,2021,2022 etc. References cited in the text is also in different style.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Minor changes as grammar mistakes, space and reference style, consistency in tables formatting and figures in the manuscript need to be corrected.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Muhammad Akram</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Management and Technology, Pakistan</b>