

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_97139
Title of the Manuscript:	In-Vitro and in-Vivo Pharmacological evaluation of Persicaria lapathifolia available in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes with minor revisions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. While writing LC50 or IC50- 50 must be written in subscript. 2. Under subsection 2.1 Plant materials: Please clarify it is MWest-Delpara or West-Delpara. 3. Under the subsection 2.2 Drugs and Chemicals: Author wrote diluted HCl but it should be dilute HCl and while writing the formula like H2SO4 numbers must be in subscript. 4. Under the subsection 2.6.1 Protein denaturation assay: Author wrote Proteins are denaturated but it should be denatured. 5. Under the subsection 2.7 In vitro anti-inflammatory assay: Author wrote (37°C 2), I think 2 is a typographical error. 6. Under the subsection 2.9.1 The Brine Shrimp Lethality Bioassay: no more than 50 µL should changed to not more than. 7. Under the subsection 2.10 Experimental Animals for In-vivo pharmacological investigation: Authors wrote "As investigators, we recruited young" in this sentence recruited word should be changed like selected. 8. Under the subsection 2.11.1 Hot plate test: Author wrote 51 °+ 1 ° c. it should be corrected. 9. Under the subsection 2.11.2 Acetic acid induced writhing test: Author wrote Four sets of five mice each where the sets should be changed to groups. 10. Under the subsection 3.2.1 DPPH Free Radical Scavenging Assay: reduction agents may be changed to reducing agent. 11. Under the table 11 and 12 authors wrote Average wt. of mice (g) as 20-26. If we are writing average wt. should be 23 not 20-26. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Paper may be accepted after minor modification.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sudhansu Ranjan Swain
Department, University & Country	Dr. A. P. J. Abdul Kalam Technical University, India