

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_97180
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Antiacne Effectiveness of Red Dragon Fruit Peel Methanolic Extract Gel
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes the manuscript is important for scientific community. It is a current topic. The use of natural extracts in pharmacy and medicine is a necessity because of the undesirable effects of chemical products. 2. Please change the title by: In vitro and in vivo Study of the Red Dragon Fruit Peel Methanolic Extract Gel effect on acne. 3. Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive and clear. 4. The conclusion is very limited, thanks for developing it. 5. Yes. 6. The references are sufficient and recent. But, the author must use the same style for citation of references, either author and year or number in square brackets.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes English quality is suitable.	
Optional/General comments	There are previously work on biological activities of the extract but there NO work about anti acne property.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jamel Mejri
Department, University & Country	Ecole Supérieure de Ingénieurs de Medjez El Bab, Tunisia