

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_96952
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and Risk factors of polycystic ovarian syndrome PCOS: A cross-sectional study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes. This is a relevant topic as far as women or girls are concerned. As per the authors suggestions, life style, diet and habits Yes Yes Yes Yes. Tables need to be arranged with proper headings (n' was not mentioned above the table) Yes. Relevant topic but, manuscript needs quiet serious modification. Discussion part needs major revision anyway.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Not at all.	
Optional/General comments	Needs detailed grammatical checks, sentence formation, Use of capital letters and use of punctuation marks. I have highlighted few of that.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Linda Varghese
Department, University & Country	Kerala University of Health Sciences, India