

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_97033
Title of the Manuscript:	Glioblastoma multiforme: molecular biology and updated systematic review on natural extract and phytochemical efficacy in-vitro
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>*The manuscript is about <i>Glioblastoma multiforme</i>. I consider the content is <i>important</i></p> <p>*Article involves treatment approach. The title may include the word as: <i>Glioblastoma multiforme: molecular biology (treatment) and updated systematic review on natural extract and phytochemical efficacy in-vitro</i></p> <p>*Article is comprehensive. It may also include prominent extracts or phytochemicals due to review search Appropriate. The article follow PRISM guidelines. References include newer ones In the conclusion part prominent <i>natural extract and phytochemicals might be emphasized</i></p> <p><i>Table descriptions should be adequate and Abbreviations should be clarified</i></p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Table 4 should include the name of the extracts in the reference. Just IC50 does not sense. Table 2 and 3 "Concentration of α-solanine which achieved IC50 (μM)" seems to be mistakenly written Figure 8 might be withdrawn. Numbers are not defined. Text description would be better.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Many figures and tables are in the article. The eligibility should be evaluated by the editorial board.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zeynep Gunes Ozunal
Department, University & Country	Maltepe University, Turkey