

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JMSRR_96422</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EFFECT OF BEESWAX ADDITION IN THE MECHANICAL AND ANTIMICROBIAL PROPERTIES OF EDIBLE FILM BASED ON FISH GELATIN AND NANOCHITOSAN: A REVIEW</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljmsrr.com/index.php/JMSRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	The article under review has a pronounced character of a review article. It contains information from more than 70 literary sources that are devoted to the development of "food" packaging for food products.  Since the article analyses information from a significant number of literary sources, the material of the article is certainly interesting for researchers who deal with similar problems.  The title of the article fully corresponds to the material presented in the article. The abstract of the article fully corresponds to the material of the article. The material of the article is interesting to the scientific community.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The English language of the article is sufficient to understand the material presented.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	In my opinion, the author could reduce the volume of the article, since there are many well-known facts in the text.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Yakov G. Verkhivker</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Odessa National University of Technology, Ukraine</b>