

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_96474
Title of the Manuscript:	PRE-SOIL EVALUATION FOR HEAVY METALS, AT THE PROPOSED DUMP-SITE IN NTAKE-INYANG ITAM, ITU LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA, AKWA IBOM STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community. It was analysed the levels of trace metals in soil. Also, it was pointed out the parameters which most impact on the production.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract is clear. However, the main conclusions of the work must be added in the abstract.</p> <p>4. The article is easy to understand. But, the paper has no conclusion. A conclusion section must be included in the paper.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references of the article are sufficient and recent.</p> <p>The article presents the influence of chemical components on the human body. But it would be interesting to analyze the impact of soil composition on the population that lives close to the analyzed region.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. The article is well written and easy to understand.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Celina Kakitani Ofuchi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University of Technology, Brazil</b>