

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JESBS_97568</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Left-Handed Mothers and LGBTQ+ Child Acceptance: Exploring the Link through Empathy</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Q1.</b> Even if the manuscript is essential, it suggested extending the concept of Left-Handed Mothers and LGBTQ+ Child Acceptance, thus how significant the Link through Empathy. The literature review is essential to clear the concept and find support for this manuscript. <b>Q2.</b> The area, place, and study method should be included in this title. <b>Q3.</b> Not Comprehensive <b>Q4.</b> Not Comprehensive <b>Q5.</b> Fair <b>Q6.</b> N/A	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	As suggested in this manuscript, the language quality check is essential. Suggested adding the literature review section, data analysis, results, and the limitation section	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Alex Siu Wing Chan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, China</b>