

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JESBS_96685</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Students' Characteristics and Academic Performance in Mathematics</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>1-Yes</b> <b>2-Yes</b> <b>3-Yes</b>  <b>4-Yes</b> <b>5-Yes</b> It is necessary to review the wording to avoid repetition of the word <b>Mathematics</b> . The article reflects rigorous painstaking work. It reflects the ethical considerations that must be considered in all research work, such as notification to the centers where the data is obtained, informed consent and letters of thanks to the authorities of the centers at the end of the investigation. If this line of research continues, it would be important to select the sample by strata, since it is not the same perception of a first-year student about this discipline as one who is about to finish. In addition, it would be convenient to indicate the inclusion and exclusion criteria in the selection of teachers, such as qualifying title, teaching seniority <b>6- Yes</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vivian Aurelia Minnaard</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad FASTA, Argentina</b>