

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_97146
Title of the Manuscript:	DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION OF A MICROCONTROLLER-BASED WEATHER ACQUISITION DEVICE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes. It monitors the atmospheric data, which may help to overcome the abnormalities occurring due to natural calamities. Title finds suitable. Yes. Comprehensive and covers the entire content of the paper in a crisp manner. Yes. Paper is well written and organized. Yes. Paper is technically correct. Sufficient papers are addressed in the reference section. Keywords shall be arranged in chronological order. All the references are to be cited in the paper. The reason for altitude measurement and the sensor employed for the same are not evidenced in the paper. It is advised to include real-time results in the paper.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	Overall, a well-written and organized manuscript. Suggested for publication with minor revisions.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muruganath Gopal Raj
Department, University & Country	Ahalia School of Engineering and Technology, India