

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_97081
Title of the Manuscript:	Simulation research of RV Reducer bearing based on rigid-flexible coupling
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> <b>Ans: Yes. If Author(s) can add applications, advantages and disadvantages as separate section that would be great.</b> 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> <b>Ans: Looks good to me.</b> 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> <b>Ans: Yes.</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> <b>Ans: Yes.</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <b>Ans: Yes.</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form</b> <b>Ans: Yes.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	For detail information can Author(s) refer manuscript for the comments? 1. Can Author(s) use high quality images so that viewers can be able to view it properly? 2. Can Author(s) use same pattern for all references? For some DOI is available and for some it's not. If DOI is not available then can Author(s) use link?	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Manu Mitra</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Bridgeport, USA</b>