

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Energy Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_97353
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Non-Linear Regression Models for Predicting Biogas Yields from Selected Bio-wastes.</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Answer: The development of biofuel energy sources is of great importance to reduce dependence on fossil fuels due to their environmental impacts.</p> <p><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> Answer: yes</p> <p><b>2. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> Answer: needs rephrase</p> <p><b>3. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> Answer: yes</p> <p><b>4. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  Answer/ yes</p> <p><b>5. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> Answer/ needs more recent references</p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1- Rephrase the abstract.</p> <p>2- (2.1 Factors that Influence Biogas Yields), this section needs an abbreviation, it is not a review paper.</p> <p>3- In Materials and Method: The authors should be mentioned the method for testing biogas yields</p> <p>4- Rewrite the conclusions.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Some paragraphs need to be grammatically reformulated.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The authors should support the current study with more recent references that support the results discussion	

### PART 2:

**Review Form 1.7**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rana Abbas Azeez</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Technology, Iraq</b>