

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_96935
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINING FACTORS OF FINANCIAL DISTRESS IN SMALL MEDIUM ENTERPRISES IN INDONESIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>This article needs corrections before it can be printed yes yes yes After corrections yes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- There are Some grammatical points that need to be corrected</li> <li>- Some spelling mistakes must be corrected</li> <li>- It is not necessary to mention the control variables</li> <li>- The components of Figure 1 should be grouped so that they are not separated</li> <li>- Term "Conclusion" should be omitted in</li> <li>- The maximum amount of liquidity in Table 3 is suspicious and needs to be checked</li> <li>- Unfortunately, no contribution has been made to help the existing literature.</li> <li>- Unfortunately, no interpretation has been made in the conclusion section and no comparison has been made with previous researches</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	To some extent	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahdi Filsaraei
Department, University & Country	Hakim Toos Higher Education institute, Iran