

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_97276
Title of the Manuscript:	Duration of antibiotics in Community acquired pneumonia, Physicians fancy?
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The manuscript is important for scientific community. Long-term antibiotic therapy in pneumonia without a scientifically supported need is reviewed. The authors suggest that longer antibiotic prescribing is left to the physician's discretion without any real basis. No extensive diagnostic tests are done, no culture reports are awaited. The results of this study could lead to a reduction in antibiotic resistance, a widespread and risky problem worldwide. The title is suitable, the abstract is comprehensive. Manuscript is well structured and scientifically correct. Referencess are recent and sufficient. The proposed manuscript is suitable for publication. Punctuation and grammar check required.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The proposed manuscript is suitable for publication. Punctuation and grammar check required.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Christina Voycheva
Department, University & Country	Medical University of Sofia, Bulgaria