

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMMR_97274 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | EVALUATION AND ASSESSMENT OF SENSORY AND MOTOR ABNORMALITIES IN HIGH RISK NEONATES WITH <32 WEEKS AT HIGH RISK CLINIC |
| Type of the Article | Research article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | | |
| 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | Yes, it is, because it shows the deficits of newborn children with a low birth weight. Yes, it very suitable. Yes, it is comprehensive. Yes, they are appropriate and comprehensive. Yes, it is scientifically correct. As far as I can judge, the references are correct and recent. The text is very readable and comprehensive, although the English language should be overworked and an abbreviation list should be added. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The English language should be overworked. | |
| Optional/General comments | An abbreviation list should be added to the manuscript. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Felix-Martin Werner |
| Department, University & Country | Grone Gesundheitsakademie, Germany |