

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_96946
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Advanced Platelet-Rich Fibrin Associated with Zucchelli Technique in the Treatment of Cairo Type 1 Gingival Recession: Randomized Clinical Trial
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Interesting study on Evidence-based practice The title is appropriate Abstract: type of study was not mentioned in the abstract avoid using abbreviations in the abstract (Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials) Define the CONSORT protocol in one or two sentences. Either in the methods or discussion section Correct the subsection of methods (2. material and methods / experimental details/methodology)??</p> <p>Regarding the study design (parallel randomized split-mouth) Simply clarify how the two treatments were randomly assigned to either the right or left. How are patients allocated in the trial?</p> <p>Revise the numbering of your citation in the text and references list. revise Vancouver guidelines</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Citations to someone else's work in the text, indicated by the use of a number • A sequentially numbered reference list at the end of the document providing full details of the corresponding in-text references. <p>If you used Vancouver style you need to correct the reference list as well regarding the position of date. Suggested recent citations : Chekurthi S, Tadepalli A, Parthasarathy H, Ponnaiyan D, Ramachandran L, Karthikeyan J. Evaluation of Clinical Efficacy of Advanced Platelet-Rich Fibrin in the Management of Gingival Recession Defects: Case Series. Clin Adv Periodontics. 2022 Jun;12(2):88-93. doi: 10.1002/cap.10160. Epub 2021 Apr 22. PMID: 33826251. Tadepalli A, Chekurthi S, Kavassery Balasubramanian S, Parthasarathy H, Ponnaiyan D. Comparative Evaluation of Clinical Efficacy of Leukocyte-Rich Platelet-Rich Fibrin with Advanced Platelet-Rich Fibrin in Management of Gingival Recession Defects: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Med Princ Pract. 2022;31(4):376-383. doi: 10.1159/000525560. Epub 2022 Jun 21. PMID: 35728577; PMCID: PMC9485915. Öngöz Dede F, Bozkurt Doğan Ş, Çelen K, Çelen S, Deveci ET, Seyhan Cezairli N. Comparison of the clinical efficacy of concentrated growth factor and advanced platelet-rich fibrin in the treatment of type I multiple gingival recessions: a controlled randomized clinical trial. Clin Oral Investig. 2023 Feb;27(2):645-657. doi: 10.1007/s00784-022-04775-5. Epub 2022 Nov 19. PMID: 36401070.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Correct typo- grammatical errors	
Optional/General comments	I advise the author(s) to follow this journal's guidelines for publication and reference style The clinical procedures are well presented, however, the epidemiological study design needs further description. All the best	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Naeima Houssein
Department, University & Country	The University of Benghazi, Libya