

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JABB_97284 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Antibacterial activity of calycophyllum spruceanum leaf extract against enterococcus faecalis strains “in vitro” for endodontic purposes |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | Antibacterials are drugs that included antibiotics; they are used in the treatment of various diseases arising from bacterial infections and can reduce the rate to spreading these diseases. So the current study is important health related issue, ultimately supporting the society progress. Yes Yes, it is suitable yes Present manuscript is scientifically correct but it is required some modification which are suggested in the review section. | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Rewrite the conclusion section with more comprehensive words It is suggested that the add more digital images/ schematic diagrams to support the manuscripts. Author must add the discussion in the manuscript related to the results. The discussion is not enough for the present work. | |
| Optional/General comments | In introduction section few grammatical correction are required. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Ashish Selokar |
| Department, University & Country | Mohsinbhai Zaveri Mahavidyalaya, India |