

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_97117
Title of the Manuscript:	Cyanobacteria and microalgae of the shallow mountain lake Paučko, Konjuh Mountain
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, BECAUSE the researcher was touched sensitive points which are: 1) the ECOLOGICAL STATUS, 2) NUTRITIONAL VALUE, and 3) identified important BACTERIA and MICROALGE in this study. 2. Yes it is convenient and matched with the objectives and methodology. 3. Yes. 4. Yes. 5. Yes, it is correct. 6. Most of references are recent (32 of 41 ref. are recent) but there are 9 reference are so old, in my point of view; this needs to be upgraded with recent reference.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it written with scientific language.	
Optional/General comments	This topic is viable for fish ecologists so; more researches are needed to discover the secrete behind this great water environments (LAKES).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Omer Abu-Alhassan Osman Idam
Department, University & Country	University of Gezira, Sudan