

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_96835
Title of the Manuscript:	Electrochemical determination of inorganic mercury (II) on a poly(Eriochrome blue black R) modified carbon paste electrode
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirpac.com/index.php/IRJPAC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	This manuscript can be accepted for publication in the International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry after extensive minor revision. The manuscript is important enough for the scientific community. The title of the article is suitable. The abstract of the article should be the correction. "The values obtained after optimization were excellent because a linear calibration graph was obtained in the concentration range of 1×10^{-9} to 9×10^{-9} M with correlation coefficient $R^2 = 0.9975$, the LOD and the LOQ obtained are respectively 3.23×10^{-10} M and 1.07×10^{-9} M." I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. The references sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	1. The abstract should have the standard structure (i.e., aim, methods, results, conclusions). 2. Keep a standard of format all over the document. (mercury (II) or Hg (II) or Hg^{2+}), (M or mol L^{-1}) 3. Please correct the value of all concentration such as $1 \ 10^{-9} \rightarrow 1 \times 10^{-9}$	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prawit Nuengmatcha
Department, University & Country	Nakhon Si Thammarat Rajabhat University, Thailand