

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_96594
Title of the Manuscript:	Physico-chemical, GC-MS Spectrometry Analysis and Antimicrobial Activity of Foeniculum Vulgare Seeds Oil
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirpac.com/index.php/IRJPAC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The product studied has economical value, I think is important its study. 2. The title is suitable. 3. I think the abstract lacks some units and can be improved nomenclature- 4. Yes, are appropriate 5. I consider the discussion can be improved. How are related the physicochemical characteristics with the use of the product? 6. References are reasonably recent The scientific name of vegetables and bacteria are composed by two words, Always the first one begins with capital letter but the second one not. And always are written in italics. Improving this aspect will be useful for readability of your article. Several units are lacking or not correctly included.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I consider is possible to improved this aspect	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Iris Miraballes Martinez
Department, University & Country	Universidad De La Republica, Uruguay