

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Research Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJGH_96813
Title of the Manuscript:	Species differences on Intestinal CYP Expression
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalirjgh.com/index.php/IRJGH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</li> </ol>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community since it gives the overview of different species and their correlation with the CYP expression.</p> <p>Yes, the title is suitable</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is good</p> <p>For mini-review article the subsections and structure is ok</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically ok but few modifications on the alignment and para of the manuscript will give the better manuscript</p> <p>The references are ok</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Yes, the language is ok	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	A brief discussion part is suggestive to add on the manuscript	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abu Md Ashif Ikbal
Department, University & Country	Assam University, India